

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/465,131	12/16/1999	SARATHY RAJAGOPALAN	65611	8489	
24319	7590 . 05/19/2003				
LSI LOGIC	CORPORATION		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
1621 BARBE	R LANE		<u> </u>		
MS D-106, L	EGAL DEPARTMENT				
MILPITAS, O	CA 95035				
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/465,131	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Yaritza Guadalupe	2859				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>11 March 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1)ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.			e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page I line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
((a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
((b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. [The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	Ø	Oth	er (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		App	licant arguments appear to show a misinterpretation of the previous Rejection mailed by the Office ON December 12,

Applicant arguments appear to show a misinterpretation of the previous Rejection mailed by the Office ON December 12, 2002 (Paper No. 15). The position presented by the Examiner in the previous action was to modify the Admitted Prior Art (Pages 2 - 4 of Specification as Amended by Applicant in May 11, 2001) with the teachings of Wyland, and not to modify Wyland as stated by the Applicant.

Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800